GLOBAL ANTHROPOCENE

FREE VIRTUAL INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE

NOVEMBER 6–7, 2021
Welcome!

Welcome, Participants!

We are now in the second year of the pandemic. However, while vaccines and other therapeutic procedures are now available to treat COVID-19, mobility restrictions are still in place; thus, providing challenges for scholars around the world to meet in person and engage in fruitful discussions. Cognizant of this reality as well as the hardships experienced by Global South academics during this pandemic, the Philippine International Studies Organization decided to hold a free, virtual international conference on the Global Anthropocene.

Given the several challenges to pull off this conference such as the availability of funding and necessary cyberinfrastructure, the hindrances posed by the pandemic did not constrain the Organizing Committee to execute a conference attended by several experts, pioneering scholars, and practitioners coming from various parts of the world.

In light of the current pandemic and the recent IPCC report about the irreversible consequences of global warming and climate change, this year’s conference aims to revisit our already established idea of man’s relationship to the natural world. Through a re-examination of our established knowledge systems, schemas, and orientations, this year’s conference highlights various contributions about cases and experiences that affirm the necessity of rethinking our anthropo-centric view of approaching various global issues.

To all participants of the 2021 PHISO International Conference, we wish to express our heartfelt gratitude for your continued interest and support of our scholarly endeavors.

Thank you and enjoy!

Sincerely,

#PHISO2021 Organizing Team
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The Philippine International Studies Organization (PHISO) is the pioneering professional organization dedicated to the promotion of International Relations (IR) as a field of study in the Philippines, as well as the interdisciplinary exchange of research and knowledge through workshops, conferences, and collaborative publication projects aimed at furthering understanding about the concept of the ‘international’.

The mission and vision of PHISO encompass goals for research and education. Firstly, besides providing a venue for the discussion of dominant approaches to IR such as realism, liberalism, and constructivism, PHISO seeks to develop interest and scholarship in the plurality and decoloniality of IR through the study of theories, praxes, scholars, and sources of knowledge stemming from the Global South, particularly the Philippines. This may include relational theory, contrapuntal reading, civilizational encounters in a multiplex world, and the incorporation of homegrown IR texts that express the realities of one’s culture and experiences.

Secondly, PHISO endeavors to foster relations and knowledge-sharing with educational institutions, scholars, practitioners, and students in order to strengthen ties between the academe and the public, as well as create a broad base of interest in the field. Lastly, PHISO serves as a platform for critical engagement with the theoretical diversity of IR, from the rich corpus of Euro-American scholarship that has historically characterized the discipline and emerging scholarship critical of the limitations of this legacy.

Ang Kalipunan para sa Araling Panaigdigdigan sa Pilipinas (PHISO) ay ang nangungungang samahan ng mga propesyonal na nakalaan sa pagpapatampok ng Relasyong Panaigdigdigan (IR) bilang isang larangan ng pag-aaral sa Pilipinas, gayundin bilang isang interdisiplinayong palitan ng pagsasaliksik at kaalaman sa pamamagitan ng mga paliwan, komperensiya at mga may pagtutulunganang proyektong pampahayagan na nakatuon sa mas malawak na pag-unawa ukol sa konsepto ng “internasyonal”.

Ang misyon at bisyon ng PHISO ay hindi lamang natatali sa layunin ng pananaliksik at edukasyon. Una, maliban sa pagbibigay puwang sa mga talakayan ukol sa mga nangungunang pamanang sa IR tulad ng reyalismo, liberalismo at konstruktibismo, ang PHISO ay naglalayong paunlarin ang interes at pag-alam sa Global na Relasyong Panaigdigdigan sa pamamagitan ng mga teorya, mga pag-aaral at mga bukal ng kaalaman mula sa timog global, partikular sa Pilipinas. Kabilang na rito ang teoryang ‘relationality’, pagbasang contrapuntal, dekolonyal, enkuwentrong sibilisasyon sa mundo, at ang paglalapat ng mga teksito ng IR sa pagpapahayag ng mga katotohanan sa kultura at karanasan ng tao.

Ikalawa, sinisikap ng PHISO na paunlarin ang ugnayan at pagbabahagian ng mga kaalaman sa pagitan ng mga institusyong edukasyonal, mga iskolar, mga tagagaaapat at mga mag-aaral upang patatagin ang ugnayan sa pagitan ng akademya at mamamayan, gayundin ay makalikha ng mas malawak na base ng interes sa larangan ito. Huli, ang PHISO ay tumatatong plataporma sa mga kritikal na ugnayan ng iba’t ibang teorya ng IR, mula sa mayamang karanasan ng mga mag-aaral na ‘Euro-American’ na makasaysayang tinukoy at namamayaapag na pag-aaral sa limitasyon ng pamana.
Anthropocene, a geological period describing the veritable impact of human activities in the planet’s ecosystem, has become a primary concern among the members of the academic community. Anthropocene does not only involve the individual or the communal, but it transcends beyond the complex relations of both the living and the non-living, thus, forming a new reality (Harrington 2016, p. 4). The study of Anthropocene is no stranger to IR since its scholars examine “how humanity deals with the challenges of sharing a singular and finite space” (Olaf as mentioned in Simangan, 2020). A case in point is the COVID-19 pandemic; it has exposed humans’ excessive use of earth resources beyond its limits affecting the normal ecological flow and life in the international system. However, mainstream IR scholarship—realism for example—reiterated that human excessive use of the planet’s resources serves an important mechanism as a response to pandemic and to maintain a global order.

The closing of state border, imposition of hardline immigration policies, polity’s mistrust on world bodies, etc., are some of the realist points. In contrast, liberals emphasized the role of cooperation among states amidst crises such as the COVID-19 pandemic. Nonetheless, the pandemic’s multiple facets were subjected to numerous scientific and socio-political contestations among IR actors, and such disputes relegated the pandemic as a merely dependent variable. Evaluation of the Anthropocene approach is highly needed. Going beyond the humanist approach, Anthropocene is seeking “for newer approaches of thinking about humanity’s connection to nature” (Lovbrand et al, 2020; Hamilton, 2017; Scanton 2015) by tethering the fates of both humanity and the planet (Lovbrand et al, 2020; Biermann and Lovbrand, 2019; Hamilton et al., 2015; Steffen et al., 2011). To meet the demands of the Anthropocene, it needs a deconstruction and reconstruction of conventional frameworks (Simangan, 2020).
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The #PHISO2021 Free Virtual International Conference on Global Anthropocene—to be held on November 6–7, 2021—is an attempt to bring scholars, practitioners, and students into the discussion of opportunities and challenges regarding the Anthropocene approach to IR (or human–nonhuman relations). We, therefore, encourage paper presentations, panels, and roundtables that are hinged on, but not limited to, the discussions on Anthropocene in IR or IR in the Anthropocene:

- Adopting the questions raised by Lovbrand et al, who speaks for the future of the earth? And whose Anthropocene?
- How can we reconcile the unsustainable production from the insurmountable consumption while we preserve life?
- How do global structures impact global, regional, and local levels in influencing sustainable initiatives and development?
- How do governance processes, institutions, and decision-making infrastructure address the fundamental 21st challenges of global environmental change?
- How do we bolster the understanding of indigenous or decolonial agency, human–nature entanglements, and differentiated vulnerabilities in relation to the Anthropocene and IR?
- How do we locate Anthropocene in the Western–based and modernist approach to IR?
- How do we situate the position of ideas and belief systems present among civilizations, including the role of humanity, in the Anthropocene?
- How has the discourse on mobility relates to Anthropocene and the political in IR?
- How do security studies treat the Anthropocene epoch phenomenon?
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- How should IR engage with other disciplines to meet the demands of the Anthropocene?
- What alternative models are available in response to maintaining growth and abundance of earth’s nature?
- What approaches, actions and responses are deemed fit in challenging the dramatic shift of the planet’s biosphere?
- What pedagogies are best suited in the study of Anthropocene in IR?
- With the absence of Anthropocene in the discussion of IR, how do we position the human–nonhuman relations in the discipline?

REFERENCES:
About the Theme: GLOBAL ANTROPOCENE

When we were planning the theme of this conference last year, little did we realize it would be so timely. Yet in January 2021, the UN Development Programme conducted a climate survey spanning fifty countries, revealing that concrete policy actions for the environment were broadly supported across the world – not just by governments, but by people across different age groups and income levels. Nearly eight months later, this was followed by UN Secretary-General António Guterres’ announcement that the report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change Working Group 1 was a ‘code red for humanity’ – a strong choice of words – in doing so, he emphasized that dire costs would follow unless substantive action was taken urgently to limit the rise in temperatures in short order. Nevertheless, the call for changes to human behavior and attitudes towards the environment have a long political history that culminated in the emergence of green parties, movements to revive endangered species, as well as those that advocated for a reduction in various types of pollution. The significant impact of humans on the environment was recognized in several ways that go beyond the concept of the Anthropocene used amongst natural scientists. In terms of security, the human impact on the environment as part of the larger framework of human security of the 1990s, while also representing a growing multi- and interdisciplinary field of study with input from natural scientists and social scientists, academics, and practitioners alike. For these beginnings to culminate in the global activist movements and broad support climate action we see today, nearly thirty years later, is as critical as it is daunting. It would be remiss in times like these not to mention the role of the environment in pandemics, conflicts, and food security, among several other threats to survival on a global scale. Thus, I am delighted that PHISO has taken the initiative to select the Anthropocene as our subject for the 2021 International Conference. The conference’s program offers diverse angles on the theme, such as sustainable development, security, indigenous peoples’ perspectives, citizenship, and many more, featuring interdisciplinary views that will
surely be enriching for scholars and practitioners alike. I look forward to the discussions that will take place here, on our online platform, as the academe still reels from the effects of the pandemic.

To end, it is with much hope and sentimentality that I would like to announce this year as the end of my tenure serving as an officer of PHISO, a task which I undertook with great fondness and determination. In the past five years, I and the other officers, as well as PHISO founder Dr. Nassef Adiong, have seen PHISO grow from a small group of dedicated individuals to a rich and diverse network of scholars, academics, and practitioners throughout the country and beyond, all of whom have critically and passionately engaged with our vision of diverse perspectives on the 'international'. For that, I am very grateful and humbled for all that I have learned, and all the wonderful people I have met along the way. Your generosity, motivation, and collaborative spirit have restored my faith in the future of young scholars and PHISO’s mission.

*Maraming Salamat po sa inyong lahat!*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8:30 - 9:30 A.M</td>
<td>Registration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:30 - 9:45 A.M</td>
<td>Program Commencement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:45 - 11:15 A.M</td>
<td>Keynote Speech: Disrupting the Universality of the Anthropocene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:15 - 11:55 A.M</td>
<td>Open Forum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:00 - 1:30 P.M</td>
<td>Lunch</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PROGRAM SCHEDULE

Day 1
November 6, 2021
 Philippine Standard Time

1:30-3:00 PM – Session and 5-minute break

Panel Session I
Revisiting the Disciplines through the Anthropocene

Panel Chair
Mr. Erick Calata, Polytechnic University of the Philippines

Paper Presentations

Mapping the Anthropocenic Challenges to the Social Sciences
(Ishtiaque Ahmed Levin, Jawaharlal Nehru University)

“Sickness Unto Death: A Kierkegaardian Reset in the Time of Pandemic”
(Rhoche Avelino Ebora Matienzo, University of Sto. Tomas – Department of Philosophy)

Roundtable Discussion I
An Analysis of Indigenous India’s Postcolonial Anthropocene

Panel Chair
Ms. Lorna Q. Israel, Miriam College

Panelists

- Mnenosyne Hilary Vinarao
- Danielle Rose Mañalac
- Frances Therese Zabala
- Wing Sze Christine Pun

Miriam College – International Studies Department
Panel Session
Sustainable Development

Panel Chair
Mr. Denson Acomular, National University

Paper Presentations

From Marine Exploitation towards Community and Sustainable Development: A Comparative Study on Indonesian and Philippine Marine Policies
(Sharon Mendoza-Dreisbach, Skyline University College; Public Administration and Human Resources Management; and Roche Angeles, Far Eastern University – SHS)

What Alternative Models Are Available in Response to Maintaining Growth and Abundance of Earth’s Nature?
(James Wang, Yih – Min Sun, Office of USR Project, Chung Hwa University of Medical Technology, Taiwan)

Luxury trading on poverty: A study of Jaisalmer and its water crisis
(Mahima Duggal, University of Mumbai – Department of Civics and Politics)

Roundtable Discussion 2
Critical Perspectives on Justice and Political Change in Times of Uncertainty

Panel Chair
Arnaud Kurze, Montclair State University

Panelists

- Lauren Balasco, Stockton University
- Beatrice Ciordia, CGIAR, Mexico
- Eliza Garnsey, Cambridge University, United Kingdom
- Arnaud Kurze, Montclair State University
- Christopher K. Lamont, Tokyo International University
- Nomzamo Ntombela, Michigan State University
- Mariam Salehi, Wissenschaftszentrum Berlin, Germany
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Day 1
November 6, 2021
Philippine Standard Time

4:30-6:00 PM - Session and 5-minute break

Book Launching

**Aid Imperium: United States Foreign Policy and Human Rights in Post-Cold War Southeast Asia**
University of Michigan Press, 2021

**Contributor, Convener and Panelist**
Dr. Salvador Santino F. Regilme Jr., Leiden University

**Moderator**
Dr. Carmina Y. Untalan, Osaka University

**Panelists**
Dr. Dahlia Simangan, Hiroshima University

Dr. Rizalino Malabed, University of the Philippines, Los Baños

Christopher Chanco, MA, Universite de Montreal

Raymond Baguilat, LLM, University of the Philippines, College of Law, Institute of Human Rights

Dr. Kilian Spandler, University of Gothenburg

Dr. Carmina Y. Untalan, Osaka University
 PROGRAM SCHEDULE

Day 2
November 7, 2021
Philippine Standard Time

9:00-10:30 AM - Session and 5-minute break

Panel Session 3
**Anthroposensibilities:** Disparities, Accountability, Conservation, and Citizenship

Panel Chair
Atty. Archill Niña F. Capistrano, UP Cebu

Paper Presentations

- **Differences in extremity of environmental pollution and its contribution to the increasing gap of North-South global division**
  (Stephany Jen Bulante, UP Cebu-BA Political Science)

- **AnthropoSEEN: Recognizing accountability in the global environmental change in the 21st century**
  (Bea Castrence, UP Cebu-BA Political Science)

- **Greener Solutions: An analysis of how habitat conservation and proper wildlife trade regulation can prevent pandemics**
  (Mayumi, Ampoln, UP Cebu-BA Political Science)

- **“Intergenerational responsibility” vs. consumerist anthropoSIN: Reflections for global citizenship**
  (Archill Niña F. Capistrano, UP Cebu-Political Science)

Panel Session 4
**Policy and Governance**

Panel Chair
Mr. Francis Esteban, Far Eastern University

Paper Presentations

- **Bhutan’s Climate Governance in Tourism in the Paris Agreement Framework**
  (Ma. Sjenica Claraval Sevilla, De La Salle University-International Studies Department)

- **Countering Food Security through the Paris Climate Agreement: The Case of the Philippines**
  (Nicola Hannah A. Marfa, Ozara Mae C. Siao, and Julianne Marie M. Tia, De La Salle University-International Studies Department)

- **The Green in Philippine IR: the State of Philippine Environmental Foreign Policy in 21st Century**
  (Kobe Bryan Ladines Loterinia, University of the Philippines-Diliman)
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Day 2
November 7, 2021
Philippine Standard Time

10:30-12:00 NN - Session and 5-minute break

Panel Session 5
The Economic and Social Cost of Living in the Age of Anthropocene

Panel Chair
Dr. Melanie Reyes, Miriam College

Paper Presentations

The Comic Mind and Global Poverty in Anthropocene’s Tragic Imaginary
(Lorna Q. Israel, Miriam College - International Studies Department)

When Collective Action Succeeds: The Uprising of the G-20 in Cancun
(Noel Del Castillo, Miriam College - International Studies Department and University of the Philippines School of Economics)

Social Development Gaps and Challenges in Marriage Migration: A Glimpse of the Social Protection Policy of South Korea and the Philippines
(Dr. Melanie Reyes, Miriam College - International Studies Department)

Global Cooperation Against Terrorism in the Age of Anthropocene
(Dr. Rommel Banlaoi, Miriam College - International Studies Department)

Rethinking Food Security Policies in the Philippines
(Kebart Licayan, Far Eastern University; Billy Gene Balsamo, University of Asia and the Pacific; and Brian Doce, De La Salle University)
Panel Session 6
Indigenous Knowledge and Belief System

Panel Chair
Mr. John Harvey Gamasa, Ateneo de Davao University

Paper Presentations

The power of the ancestral philosophy of Alli kawsay (Buen Vivir) in the indigenous movements of Colombia - Ecuador vs. the exclusion by the big mining development, contribution to the Rights of Mother Nature from the global south (Eduardo Erazo Acosta, University of Nariño)

Pope of the Global South? Towards a Decolonial Reading of the Francis Papacy (Mar Louie Vincent Capul Reyes, University of San Carlos - Department of Political Science)

To Hear The Cry of the Earth and The Cry of the Poor: Laudato Si and Pope Francis’s Challenge To Mainstream Climate Solutions (Jon Neil Perfecio and Patrick Torres, Archdiocese of Cebu - Commission on Social Advocacies)

Mainstreaming indigenous knowledge in higher education: the Philippines’ 2019 higher education policy change (Giselle Lugo Miole, Waseda University Graduate School of Asia Pacific Studies)

Panel Session 7
Security in Anthropocene

Panel Chair
Dr. Patrick Dave Bugarin, Dominican International School Taipei

Paper Presentations

Global Security in the Anthropocene era: How International Relations Interact with other scholars (Syarifah Huswatun Miswar, Jilin University - Department of Law, SIPA Faculty)

Formulation Of Global Health Diplomacy: Corona Viruses Between National And Global Disasters (Laode Muhamad Fathun, UPN Veteran Jakarta, Indonesia)

The Activism of Civil Society of Pakistan during Covid-19 Pandemic (Dr. Anam Iftikhar, Minhaj University Lahore)
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Day 2
November 7, 2021
Philippine Standard Time

3:00 - 4:30 PM - Session and 5-minute break

Roundtable Discussion 3
Religion, Environment, and Anthropocene

Panel Chair
Hadje Sadje, SOAS Center for Palestine Studies, University of London

Panelists
Jaazeal Jakosalem, University of Bonn, Germany
Abdul Basit Zafar, Independent Researcher
Thandi Sokoe-de Jong, Protestant Theological University, Groningen
Rekha Ojha, Visva-Bharati University - Department of Philosophy and Comparative Religion
Sanjoy Barua Chowdhury, Dharma College, Berkeley, California

Book Launching
Anthropocene Islands: Entangled Worlds

Authors
Jonathan Pugh, David Chandler

The book is free.
Download here: t.ly/rxP4
Day 2
November 7, 2021
Philippine Standard Time

4:30–6:00 PM

General Assembly and Election

Accomplishment Report

Announcement of Election Result

Closing Remarks
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Conference Code of Conduct

The Philippine International Studies Organization (PHISO) is a locus for engaging in discourse about international studies that are made acceptable to many circles and networks, accepting interdisciplinarity, and the promotion of scholarly works produced from the peripheries and every part of the globe. As we continue to promote holistic approaches to international studies via our conferences, we encourage proper behavior during the event so that every participant can enjoy the benefits of this enriching experience. The full conference Code of Conduct is available here.

The layout of the Conference

The program for the Conference has three types of events to be expected. First will be the Keynote speeches delivered by distinguished scholars and guests from different institutions. These speeches are focused on specific topics relevant to the thrust of the Conference. The second will be the panel discussions, where paper presentations are brought to a panel graced by participants of the Conference. The discussions will take place in parallel sessions in different rooms, allowing you to choose where you want to attend. Third are the roundtable discussions, organized according to niche discourses on relevant IR matters.

Panel Sessions

Panel sessions focus on a relevant theme and consist of one 90-minute panel of four to six paper presentations, where presenters can discuss their points to the panel and conference participants alike. Presenters are allotted a maximum of 15 minutes to ensure we can adhere to the 90-minute timeframe while enabling enough time for an open forum portion. Overseeing each discussion is a session chair that will introduce each paper presenter and act as a timekeeper to maintain order and a steady flow of the discussion. Presentations are sent beforehand to the Panel chair to ensure preparedness before every session. Along with that, presenters are encouraged to arrive 10 to 15 minutes before the start of every panel session. More information on the conduct of panel sessions can be found here.

The conference program will help you find the schedules of each session. If there is any confusion regarding the whereabouts of any session, you may contact the OC.
Roundtable Discussions

Every Roundtable discussion revolves around a theme and consists of a panel of a minimum of 4 to no more than 8 participants or discussants. The roundtable convenor may also be a participant in the proceedings.

Before the Roundtable proceedings, conference participants may prepare their questions to be given to the discussants of the Roundtable that will be addressed during the session. Audience members may freely ask questions during the proceedings.

The Roundtable discussion is an opportunity for thorough concept exploration and wider audience participation. This sort of engagement is particularly helpful as disciplines check each other’s contributions to a given topic. At the same time, a roundtable is quite focused on a single theme. Roundtable convenors or facilitators are to process the discussion with a clear focus on the theme in mind, along with the deliverables to be achieved in the said meeting. Facilitators who act as roundtable discussants may not answer questions they themselves have laid out. Facilitators moderate the discourse to guarantee that every roundtable member, especially those whom the questions are directed to. That being said, roundtable discussants must be conscious of the time they are given to address points. As much as possible, only one question must be raised every time they take a turn to speak.
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#PHISO2021 CODE OF CONDUCT

For smooth flow and manageable conduct of business, we have provided a code of conduct that lists guidelines for organized Zoom interactions and proper etiquette. This is divided between instructions for Zoom usage and behavior during the conference to ensure every participant can interact in a secure environment.

The Code of Conduct for the 4th PHISO International Conference is catered to address any concerns faced during the handling and participation of our online conference activities. Furthermore, the rules established to apply to individuals representing #PHISO2021, including the use of official conference e-mail addresses, official social media account posts, among others.

If you have any inquiries or technical concerns, feel free to follow up and contact the Organizing Cluster (OC).

Table of Contents
1. Online Meeting Rubrics and Support

ZOOM PROCEDURES
In the conduct of our conference sessions, we will make use of Zoom as our primary tool. Make sure you have already downloaded Zoom beforehand. Before the first day of the conference, we will email the link to the Zoom meeting room to participants and presenters. These links will be organized according to sessions.

A. Before the meeting

For participants:
- Please be on time for the session. To ensure we can accommodate enough people for the session, we require that you enter not later than 5 minutes after the start of the session.
- We will provide the URL to the Zoom room. Click the URL or paste it into your browser to enter.
- Use your full name when you sign in before the start of the meeting. This will help you be easily identified.
- Minimize the use of virtual backgrounds to avoid lag on your end.
# Code of Conduct

**#PHISO2021 CODE OF CONDUCT**

**For presenters:**
- Make sure your area has a stable internet connection – broadband wired or wireless – to prevent disconnections or lag, as well as guarantee your voice and presentations are clearly received by the audience.
- Presenters must prepare their presentations ahead of time on their devices. Presenters are also encountered to deliver their presentations to the OC for confirmation.
- Test your microphone and video cameras – built-in or USB plug-in – ahead of time. In case of any problems with your audio, click the arrow next to the microphone icon in the bottom left-hand corner, choose “Audio Options”, then “Test Computer Audio”.
- Your webcams will turn on automatically when the meeting launches. You can toggle the camera icon next to the microphone icon, then choose “Stop Video” to turn off your webcam.
- Make sure you have proper lighting for a clear view of your face. Check your controls and internet connection ahead of time.
- As much as possible, ensure that there is no background noise. You can also check to see if noise has been canceled by clicking on the side of the microphone button, going to audio settings, and set to auto.

**B. During the meeting**

**For participants:**
- Stay muted throughout the session to ensure an orderly session. Turn off your audio by clicking the microphone.
- For concerns or technical difficulties, whether you cannot hear the presenter clearly or not, feel free to type a message on the chatbox. We suggest you direct your particular concerns to the OC rather than the public chat.
- We will open the Q&A box for questions when we begin with the open panel. Here, participants can already type their questions or comments about the presentation.
- During the open forum, the panel chair will provide the questions from the audience, whether from the chatbox or through emails given prior to the talk.
- If you like to directly discuss your points of inquiry with the presenters, please press the “Raise Hand” feature. From there, you may disable the mute on your microphone to enable you to speak. You are also free to turn on your video for more interactivity.
Code of Conduct
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- We discourage the sending of irrelevant messages in the chatbox to avoid any disruption.
- Screen sharing and drawing on-screen annotations are not allowed.
- By the end of the meeting, we may have a photo opportunity with the members of the panel together with the participants. We encourage participants and presenters alike to turn on their cameras as the OC takes the photo for posterity.

For presenters:
- We encourage presenters to turn on their web cameras as they begin their presentations.
- To display your presentation, click on the Share Screen feature in the center of the Zoom interface.
- Presenters are given 15 minutes for the presentation. The remaining time after every presentation will be dedicated to the open forum.
- The panel chair will direct the questions from the Q&A box to identified presenters or to the whole body. We encourage presenters to respond to the available queries for an active, engaging experience.

2. Behavior and Ethics

Following our new, online format for the #PHISO2021 Conference, the Philippine International Studies Organization (PHISO) has outlined a set of rules for ethical conduct throughout the online conference. Pursuing our goal of making #PHISO2021 an avenue for inclusive dialogue for international studies research emboldens us to prioritize your well-being throughout this discursive experience.

Firstly, we would like to encourage our participants to make the most of our breaks in-between the conference. We all know the fatigue that comes with constant hours of exposure to screens that may affect mental and physical health. From the Organizing Cluster (OC), we extend our well wishes and hope you take care of yourselves before, during and after the Conference.
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Secondly, to make this space healthy and easy to engage with, we encourage acceptance of diversity among different perspectives, cultures, lifestyles, and the like. Every person at the Zoom meeting is worth every ounce of respect. As a safe, tolerant space for every member of human society, discrimination, harassment, slurs and offensive remarks made based on race, religion, gender, nationality, culture, physical or cognitive ability, age, appearance, or another group status shall not be tolerated. Furthermore, we extend the same consideration as we oppose any form of belittlement towards any discipline.

#PHISO2021 is a safe, welcoming space first and foremost and it shall not be a venue where intimidation and unsolicited forms of contact are not welcome to maintain the dignity of the event.

Examples of encouraged behavior by participants:

- Using welcoming and inclusive language
- Talking clearly in order for people to understand your points throughout the session.
- Using the Raise Hand function on the Zoom interface when asking for a point of inquiry.
- Being mindful of time in giving questions when using the microphone (not more than one minute to deliver the question or comment)
- Being mindful of your tone of voice when speaking, whether by lowering it to avoid being overpowering or to modulate it enough to present your points clearly to everyone.
- Being respectful of differing perspectives and experiences
- Unresentful acceptance of constructive criticism
- Extending empathy towards fellow participants
- A general sense of professional conduct and respect for every participants’ time and investment in the online meeting.
# PHISO2021 CODE OF CONDUCT

Examples of unacceptable behavior by participants:

- Using sexualized language/imagery and/or unwelcome sexual attention or advances
- Trolling, uttering demeaning comments, ad hominem, or political attacks
- Talking over the speakers during the Zoom proceedings or any form of serious disturbance during any session.
- Public or private harassment
- Publishing other’s private information, such as a physical or electronic address, without permission
- Other conduct which could reasonably be considered inappropriate in a professional setting

Enforcement

Clarifying standards of acceptable behavior is the primary duty of the #PHISO2021 Organizing Cluster (OC). During the Zoom proceedings, the OC has the discretion to mute any participant that goes out of line with their statements. Therefore, the OC is mandated to provide a fair, strong course of action to any instance of unruly, inconsiderate behavior.

To assert our vehement objection to any abhorrent behavior, the OC is also entitled to edit, reject or delete contributions that violate this Code of Conduct, or to ban temporarily or permanently any contributor for other behaviors that they deem inappropriate, threatening, offensive, or harmful. Instances of any unacceptable behavior may be reported to the OC and the incident report/complaint shall be treated with confidentiality except for details needed for the timely and appropriate action as warranted under the circumstances. The OC reserves the prerogative to post specific enforcement policies separately.